(cleared for publication)

#### Minutes of the One Hundred and First (Special) Meeting of The Equal Opportunities Commission held on 18 April 2013 (Thursday) at 4:30 p.m. in the Equal Opportunities Commission's Conference/Training Room

#### **Present**

Dr. CHOW Yat-ngok, York Ms CHAN Ka-mun, Carmen, J.P. Ms CHOI Hing-shi, M.H. Dr. KOONG May-kay, Maggie Mr. LEE Luen-fai Mr. LUI Tim-leung, Tim, B.B.S., J.P. Mr. Amirali Bakirali NASIR, J.P. Ms NG Wing-mui, Winnie Mr. Zaman Minhas QAMAR Dr. TSANG Kit-man, Sandra Dr. TSE Wing-ling, John, M.H. Ms WONG Ka-ling, Garling Mr. YIP Siu-hong, Nelson, M.H. Mr. Michael CHAN Yick-man

#### Absent with apologies

Ms CHAN Man-ki, Maggie, M.H. Ms CHIU Lai-kuen, Susanna The Hon TSE Wai-chun, Paul

#### In attendance

Mr. Joseph LI Siu-kwai Mr. Herman POON Lik-hang Mr. Kelvin MAK Kwong-yuen Ms Shana WONG Shan-nar

Mr. Peter Charles READING Miss Gloria YU Wai-ling

Ms Hallie CHAN Ying-heii

Director, Operations [D(Ops)] Chief Legal Counsel [CLC] Senior Policy and Research Officer [SPRO2] Head, Corporate Communications & Training [HCCT] Legal Counsel [LC4] Senior Equal Opportunities Officer, Administration & Personnel [SAP] Senior Equal Opportunities Officer (HR Development & Projects) [SEOO(HRDP)]

Secretary Director, Planning & Administration [DPA]

Chairperson

(cleared for publication)

#### I. <u>Introduction</u>

1. The new <u>Chairperson</u> (C/EOC), Dr. York CHOW, who attended the meeting for the first time, introduced himself and welcomed all Commission Members (Members) to the 101<sup>st</sup> (Special) Meeting. Apologies for absence were received from Ms CHAN Man-ki, Maggie, Ms CHIU Lai-kuen, Susanna and The Hon TSE Wai-chun, Paul, due to clash of meeting schedules/other business engagements.

2. <u>C/EOC</u> said that the purpose of this Special Meeting was to provide Members with more details on the Review of Discrimination Ordinances currently underway, what the EOC intended to do and obtain Members' views on this subject and to formulate an EOC position. He added that he had been meeting with different stakeholder groups since his appointment as Chairperson and he would continue to meet stakeholders and EOC Members to seek advice to advance EOC's work, and to develop a three year strategic plan in the next two months.

(Dr. John TSE joined the meeting at this juncture.)

## II. <u>Review of Discrimination Ordinances</u> (EOC Paper No. 6/2013; Agenda Item No. 1)

3. <u>C/EOC</u> invited LC4 to provide a briefing on EOC Paper No. 6/2013 to Members.

(Dr. Sandra TSANG and Mr Nelson YIP joined the meeting at this juncture.)

4. <u>LC4</u> explained in detail the justifications for the discrimination law review, the key proposals, the scope, content and stages of the review project and the strategic approach to obtain stakeholders' buy-in to the proposals. The overall objectives were to simplify, modernize and consolidate the existing ordinances into a single ordinance and to propose protection on new areas. <u>He</u>

(cleared for publication)

added that discrimination laws needed to reflect Hong Kong needs and keep abreast of international trends. It was also important to ensure consistency in the protected attributes in the existing legislation and to look at new areas such as sexual orientation and gender identity. A survey of LGBT themselves in 2010 reflected high and concerning figures of discrimination. He further stated that the EOC had conducted a review of the SDO and DDO in 1999 but did not look into FSDO and the new race law which was not enacted then. While Government had agreed to most of EOC's recommendations in the review, it had not implement them so far.

5. <u>C/EOC</u> said that there was a draft position statement on this subject at Annex 3 to the said EOC Paper for Members' consideration. As this was a very comprehensive review, the expected timeline for submission to the Government on EOC's proposals could be later than the second quarter of 2014 as stated in the EOC paper. Members were asked to provide their advice and join the internal working group to take the matter forward.

6. <u>Ms Winnie NG</u> supported the review which was in line with EOC's vision of creating a pluralistic and inclusive society free of discrimination. She supported the principles for the amendments to the existing legislation and the addition of more protected groups like people with different sexual orientation and gender identity...etc. On legislation for sexual orientation, she noted that there were very diverse views in the society and more work on public education and consultation to promote understanding would be required. She indicated an interest to join the working group.

#### (*Ms Winnie NG left the meeting at this juncture.*)

7. <u>Ms Carmen CHAN</u> supported the rationale and principles in the review and thanked LC4 for the effort in drafting the consultation document. Having studied the document, she suggested adding more real life examples of discrimination in the document to facilitate understanding of the proposals. Additionally, she posed a number of questions on various parts in the draft document which LC4 and CLC would respond separately in view of the time constraint in the meeting.

(cleared for publication)

(Ms Garling WONG, Mr. ZM QAMAR and Mr. AB NASIR left the meeting at this juncture.)

8. <u>Dr. John TSE</u> expressed concern that the scope of the review was too wide covering both existing legislation and new groups. He said that consolidating the four existing pieces of anti-discrimination legislation would be very complex and it would not be easy for the public to comprehend. The existing legislation, in its present form, was already difficult for a lay person to understand. He suggested adopting a more pragmatic approach focusing on priority areas such as enactment of legislation for sexual orientation only.

9. <u>Mr. LEE Luen-fai</u> shared the same view as Dr. TSE's. He opined that it would be easier for the public to understand four separate ordinances focusing on different groups than combining them into one. Mr. LEE also suggested that the EOC should consider strategies to enlist support from the public to the proposals.

10. Mr. Tim LUI suggested allowing more time for Members to consider and deliberate on the subject before deciding on an EOC position. Dr. Sandra TSANG supported the urgency to engineer a review and appreciated the ground work done. But she has reservations regarding the plan to conduct consultation on the revision of the four ordinances at the same time. She preferred that the EOC prepared working papers on the details of the proposed logistics of the review for the committee members' more thorough deliberations and discussions in future or special meetings to plan such review. Dr. Maggie KOONG, Ms CHOI Hing-shi and Mr. Nelson YIP supported the direction of the review. However, in view of the complexity of the matter and that there were considerable details that needed to be carefully deliberated in the consultation document, they asked for more time to consider the matter and to map out the appropriate strategies.

(Dr. John TSE left the meeting at this juncture.)

(cleared for publication)

11. <u>C/EOC</u> thanked Members for the views expressed and remarked that the exercise was indeed not an easy task. The biggest challenge lay in getting the public to understand what the changes meant and getting their support on the proposals. He welcomed more discussions and debates on the matter to help refine the proposals. He emphasized that quality of work was more important than time, and it would be important for the EOC to make good use of perhaps the only one chance to educate and impress the public on the EO values and concepts. The EOC Office would summarize the various options on the scope and approach of the review and to seek Members' advice again in the next meeting.

#### III. <u>Any Other Business</u>

# Advocating Equal Opportunities for Ethnic Minorities and Persons with a Disability

12. <u>C/EOC</u> said his other work priorities were to advocate equal opportunities for EMs and persons with a disability, in particular on i) equal education and employment opportunities and ii) support for students with special education needs and to urge the Government to effect policy change. As stated in paragraph two above, he would meet Members individually to solicit their views and advice on different work areas in the coming weeks.

### EOC Annual Forum 2013

13. <u>Members</u> noted that that the EOC Annual Forum 2013 has been tentatively scheduled for <u>Friday, 27 September 2013</u>. The venue and further details for the forum were to be decided and worked out in due course. Members were requested to take note of the date of the forum.

14. There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

#### IV. Date of Next Meeting

15. The next regular EOC meeting has been scheduled for <u>20 June 2013</u>

(cleared for publication)

(Thursday) at 2:30 p.m.

Equal Opportunities Commission May 2013